DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.5885

**ISSN: 2320 – 7051** *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **5 (6):** 778-783 (2017)





Research Article

# Survival Percentage and Leaf Area Estimation of *Populus deltoides* on Degraded Sites Using Different Plantation Techniques under Temperate Conditions

Tahir Mushtaq<sup>\*</sup>, Rakesh Banyal, Mir Awsaf Ahmad, Amir Bhat, Mohd Saleem Wani and Suhail Ahmad Wani

Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India (190025)

\*Corresponding Author E-mail: tahirmushtaq333@gmail.com Received: 12.10.2017 | Revised: 16.11.2017 | Accepted: 20.11.2017

# ABSTRACT

The plantation of Populus deltoides using different plantation and moisture conservation techniques was done in problematic (degraded) land of the Faculty of Forestry, SKUAST-Kashmir, Benihama Campus, Ganderbal at an altitude of 5850 feet above mean sea level in the year 2013-2014. The existing land of the experimental site was pertaining to three types of land problems namely: degraded underutilized (scrub dominated), degraded pastures/grazing lands, barren rocky/stony waste. The experimental site falls in a mid to high altitude characterized by hot summers and very cold winters. The average precipitation is 690 mm most of which is received from December to April in the form of snow and rains. The plantation of Populus deltoides was laid out in a randomized complete block design (Factorial). Two planting seasons, three pit sizes, three pit types and three moisture conservation measures were analyzed for survival and growth of plantation. There were 54 treatment combinations, which were replicated two times in statistical design. Results showed that out of two planting seasons studied, autumn planting was better compared to spring planting. Among the pit types saucer pits showed better results compared to ordinary pits and ring pits in the experimental site. Growth parameters were also recorded higher in  $60 \times 60 \text{ cm}^3$  pit size and under black polythene mulch.

Key words: plantation, survival, growth, pit, degraded.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Land is one of the most important resource on which human beings depend. The rate of soil degradation is continuously increasing with the advancement of science & technology, industrial expansion, urbanization and population explosion. The most important cause of land degradation is destruction of forests and other vegetation from sloping lands, river sides and other areas sensitive to damage<sup>13</sup>.

**Cite this article:** Mushtaq, T., Banyal, R., Ahmad, M.A., Bhat, A., Wani, M.S. and Wani, S.A., Survival Percentage and Leaf Area Estimation of *Populus deltoides* on Degraded Sites Using Different Plantation Techniques under Temperate Conditions, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **5**(6): 778-783 (2017). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.5885

Anthropogenic activities like over grazing, wood cutting and burning have intensified land degradation results in soil deterioration all over the world<sup>7</sup>. Vegetation acts as a protective cover against the forces of wind and water, protecting the soil from being washed or blown away and preserving the physical and hydrographic balance of nature<sup>6</sup>. Plantations can conserve soil on degraded lands by reducing nutrient loss, increasing soil organic matter and improving the soil texture<sup>15</sup>. The plantation forestry is ecologically as well as economically more viable option then traditional forestry. Plantation technology of fast growing species mainly Poplar and Eucalyptus have been taken up by farmers and institutions to boost wood production in the world. Plantation forestry has the potential to augment farmer's income substantially. Tree plantations present an economically attractive alternative to natural forests and might also be a practical option for production on degraded lands. Plantation forestry has been shown to contribute considerably in terms of carbon sequestration, increased soil organic carbon and conservation of biodiversity<sup>2</sup>. Populus deltoides locally called as 'Fras' make a striking and important contribution to the landscape and economy of Jammu and Kashmir. Poplars in the state have gained considerable importance in farm and plantation forestry like other neighboring states of Uttrakhand, Haryana and Punjab. Poplars are fast growing trees; they recycle nutrients fast due to their shedding of a large quantity of leaves which decompose early. Poplar timber is being used for making apple boxes, interior wood work, beams, poles, and fuelwood. Poplar is one of the few forest species which is considered ideal for successful inter cultivation with agricultural crops. Poplars are known for their fast growth, easy vegetative propagation and enriching the soil with litter, and provide high production

(10-30 M<sup>3</sup>/hectare/ year) on a short rotation of 8-12 years<sup>4</sup>. Food and Agriculture organization (FAO) has recommended the introduction of poplars to meet the increasing timber requirement of the world. The poplars have the potential for narrowing down the gap between demand and supply of wood. Therefore, various attempts have been made in the past for raising this economically important species on problematic lands in different parts of the world. Faulty landuse systems and inflated human population have led to land degradation in the hills and plains. The efforts of the planners, foresters and scientists have brought heavy chunk of areas under plantation but success is heading to low year after year. The reason is being the age old practice and poor physico-chemical attributes of such soils (problematic lands). In order to restore the productive potential of such lands, soil working and use of suitable trees with other measures like fertilizer application, moisture conservation etc. are the alternatives for ameliorating these problematic lands. At present the knowledge about the planting techniques on problematic sites is very limited and moreover specific to specific areas. Therefore, there is urgent need to undertake such work on scientific lines to develop techniques in tune with the existing problems.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The plantation of *Populus deltoides* using different plantation and moisture conservation techniques was done in problematic (degraded) land of the Faculty of Forestry, SKUAST-Kashmir, Benihama Campus, Ganderbal at an altitude of 5850 feet above mean sea level. The existing land of the experimental site was pertaining to three types problems namely: of land degraded underutilized (scrub dominated), degraded pastures/grazing lands, barren rocky/stony waste. The experimental site falls in a mid to

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 5 (6): 778-783 (2017)

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

high altitude characterized by hot summers winters. The and verv cold average precipitation is 690 mm most of which is received from December to April in the form of snow and rains. The mean metrological data for the plantation season was obtained observatory from the meteorological Ganderbal. The total rainfall received during the experimentation period was 281.2 and 418.80 mm during 2013 and 2014, respectively. The minimum temperature ranged from -1 to -6°C and 3.4 to 14.1°C, and maximum temperature from 17.7 to 24.4°C and 21.7 to 32.5°C and the average maximum relative humidity from 74.5 to 95.1 % and 70.4 to 90.8 %, whereas mean minimum relative humidity ranged from 43.1 to 78.7 % and 37.1 to 80.1 % during the plantation season of Autumn 2013 and Spring 2014, respectively.

# **Experimental details**

The experiment was laid out in randomized completely block design with two planting seasons i.e., autumn season and spring season 2014, three pit types (PT<sub>1</sub>: Ordinary pit, PT<sub>2</sub>: Saucer pit and  $PT_3$ : Ring pit), three pit sizes (PS<sub>1</sub>: 30×45, PS<sub>2</sub>: 45×60 and PS<sub>3</sub>: 60×60) and three mulching treatments (M<sub>0</sub>: Control, M<sub>1</sub>: Ordinary mulch, M<sub>2</sub>: Black polythene) with three replications were tested to study survival and leaf area estimation of Populus deltoids plantation on problematic site under temperate conditions. The seedlings were planted at a spacing of 2 x 2 m by line planting in the experimental fields. No plantprotection measure with regard to disease/insect pest control was taken due to non- observance of such problems.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The main aim of establishing *Populus deltoides* on problematic sites using different pit shapes, size and moisture conservation measures is to standardize the out planting

techniques with seasons in problematic sites region. Survival existing in temperate percentage and leaf area were measured after one growing season. Both the parameters were found higher for autumn planting compared to spring plant. The reason being seedlings planted in autumn planting got established before spring planting was done. In this context, two planting seasons, three pit shapes, three pit sizes and three mulching materials were studied to characterize and evaluate for survival and growth of the species. Survival percentage of Populus deltoides was studied in this investigation using two planting seasons and different planting techniques. It was found that both the planting seasons significantly influenced survival percentage. Highest survival percentage was recorded for autumn planting (76.53 percent) followed by spring planting (67.88 percent). This is due to the reason that seedlings planted in autumn season got sufficient time period compared to seedlings planted in spring season for their roots to establish. On the other hand, the time period between two planting seasons is almost three months which is sufficient for establishment of roots. Similar results were observed by Lahiri and Mazumdar<sup>9</sup> in Eucalyptus hybrid and Eucalyptus tereticornis. Table 1 of the present investigation revealed that among three pit types highest survival percentage was recorded for PT<sub>2</sub> (saucer pit), followed by  $PT_1$  (ordinary pit) and  $PT_3$  (ring pit) in both the planting seasons. Similar finding was recorded by Mehta<sup>10</sup> for Acacia plantation on alkali soils in Harvana. Pits of different sizes affected survival percentage of Populus deltoides on problematic sites in both the seasons. It was found that  $PS_3$  (60×60 cm<sup>3</sup>) recorded highest survival percentage, followed by  $PS_2$  (45×60 cm<sup>3</sup>) and  $PS_1$  (30×45 cm<sup>3</sup>). The reason being pits of higher sizes store enough water during rains compared to pits of smaller sizes which is essential for establishment of

shoot

and

all the pit types affected leaf area growth of

Populus deltoides. Highest leaf area was

recorded for  $PT_2$  (saucer pit), followed by  $PT_1$ 

(ordinary pit) and  $PT_3$  (ring pit) in both the planting seasons. Similar result was recorded

by Kumar et al.<sup>8</sup> who reported higher leaf area

in saucer pit planting pertaining to higher

size

in

Leucaena

branch

plantation. These results are in agreement with Singh *et al.*<sup>5</sup> for tree plantations on highly alkaline soils. All the three mulching treatments significantly affected survival percentage. Highest percentage survival was recorded for M<sub>2</sub> (black polythene), followed by  $M_1$  (ordinary mulch) and  $M_0$  (control) in both the seasons. Similar results were recorded by Porfit and scott<sup>14</sup> for *popular* seedlings. Factorial means were evaluated to determine the best possible factor for survival. It was found from the table that highest survival percentage was recorded for M<sub>2</sub> (81.47 percent), followed by M<sub>1</sub> (72.21 percent) and lowest for  $M_0$  (62.95 percent). Applying black polythene mulch around the base of tree has been associated with increased growth and survival compared to control and ordinary mulch. Among the three pit types studied,  $PT_2$ recorded highest survival percentage (77.85 percent), followed by PT<sub>1</sub> (70.36 percent) and PT<sub>3</sub> (68.50 percent). Similar results were recorded by Meena et al.<sup>11</sup>. It was also observed from the Table that all the three pit sizes affected survival. Highest survival percentage was recorded for PS<sub>3</sub> (85.26 percent), followed by  $PS_2$  (70.36 percent) and lowest for  $PS_1$  (61.10 percent). The reason being greater the pit size greater is the rain water storage. Similar results have also been reported by Dagger *et al.*<sup>5</sup> for Prosopis species in salt effected soils. The leaf area of Populus deltoides is significantly affected by planting season, pit types, sizes and different moisture conservation measures. Table 2 of the present study reveals that highest leaf area growth (915.81 cm<sup>2</sup>) was recorded for autumn planting and minimum (907.40 cm<sup>2</sup>) for spring planting. Similar finding was recorded by White *et al.*<sup>16</sup> who reported bigger branch size and maximum number of branches in autumn season planting. Leaf area increases with increase in branch size and number of branches. It is also evident from the Table that

leucocephala. Pits of different sizes affected leaf area of Populus deltoides on problematic sites in both the seasons with highest recorded for PS<sub>3</sub> ( $60 \times 60 \text{ cm}^3$ ), followed by ( $45 \times 60 \text{ cm}^3$ ) and  $PS_1$  (30×45 cm<sup>3</sup>). Limited rooting space is considered a major problem for growth of trees. Pits of larger size provide greater space for root growth and development, which is largelv responsible for above ground development of trees. Similar finding was reported by Buhler<sup>3</sup>. All the three mulching treatments significantly affected leaf area in both the seasons. Highest leaf area was recorded for M<sub>2</sub> (black polythene), followed by  $M_1$  (ordinary mulch) and  $M_0$  (control). Adams<sup>1</sup> reported that black poly mulches reduce weed growth, increase availability of nutrients, water in soils, increase plant height and leaf area in forest plantations. Factorial means were also evaluated to determine the best individual factor for leaf area. It was found from the table that highest leaf area was recorded for  $M_2$  (914.88 cm<sup>2</sup>), followed by  $M_1$  $(911.76 \text{ cm}^2)$  and lowest for M<sub>0</sub> (908.16 cm<sup>2</sup>). Among the three pit types studied,  $PT_2$ recorded highest leaf area (922.78 cm<sup>2</sup>), followed by  $PT_1$  (908.33 cm<sup>2</sup>) and  $PT_3$  (903.70 cm<sup>2</sup>). This finding was in agreement with Nielsen et al.<sup>12</sup> who reported maximum leaf area in saucer pit planting on degraded sloppy sites. It was also observed from the Table that all the three pit sizes affected leaf area, with highest recorded for  $PS_3$  (912.79 cm<sup>2</sup>), followed by  $PS_2$  (911.50 cm<sup>2</sup>) and lowest for  $PS_1$  (910.52 cm<sup>2</sup>).

## Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 5 (6): 778-783 (2017)

#### Table 1: Effect of planting season, pit shape, pit size and moisture conservation measures on percentage survival of Populus deltoides

Season→	Autum	n planting				Spring p	Spring planting																					
Pit type→	PT <sub>1</sub>	PT <sub>1</sub>		1	PT <sub>2</sub>	PT <sub>2</sub>			PT <sub>3</sub>			1	PT <sub>1</sub>				PT <sub>2</sub>				PT <sub>3</sub>				Factor Means			
Pit size	PS <sub>1</sub>	PS <sub>2</sub>	PS <sub>3</sub>	Mean	PS <sub>1</sub>	PS <sub>2</sub>	PS <sub>3</sub>	Mean	PS <sub>1</sub>	PS <sub>2</sub>	PS <sub>3</sub>	Mean	PS <sub>1</sub>	PS <sub>2</sub>	PS <sub>3</sub>	Mean	PS <sub>1</sub>	PS <sub>2</sub>	PS <sub>3</sub>	Mean	PS <sub>1</sub>	PS <sub>2</sub>	PS <sub>3</sub>	Mean	Mulching	Pit size	Pit Type	Seaso n
Mulching	'	<u> </u>	<u>ا</u> ا	<u> </u>	'	<u>'</u> '	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	' <u>'</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>														<u> </u>	
M <sub>0</sub>	50.00	66.66	83. 33	66.66	66.66	66.66	83. 33	72.21	50.00	66.66	83.33	66.66	50.00	50.00	66.66	55.55	50.00	66.66	66.66	61.10	50.00	50.00	66.66	55.55	62.95	61.10	70.36	76.53
<b>M</b> <sub>1</sub>	66.66	66.66	83. 33	72.21	66.66	83.33	100	83.33	50.00	66.66	83.33	66.66	50.00	66.66	83.33	66.66	66.66	83.33	83.33	77.77	50.00	66.66	83.33	66.66	72.21	70.36	77.85	67.88
M <sub>2</sub>	83.33	83.33	100	88.88	83.33	83.33	100	88.88	66.66	83.33	100	83.33	66.66	66.66	83.33	72.21	66.66	83.33	100	83.33	66.66	66.66	83.33	72.21	81.47	85.26	68.50	1
Mean	66.66	72.21	88. 88	75.91	72.21	77.77	94. 44	81.47	55.55	72.21	88.88	72.21	55.55	61.10	77.77	64.80	61.10	77.77	83.83	74.06	55.55	61.10	77.77	64.80			ĺ	1
CD (p ≤ 0.05): M=	=1.38, J	P <sub>T</sub> =1.10,	P <sub>s</sub> =2.48,	8, S=3.77,	7, $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} =$	=1.57, M	$A \times P_s = 3.48$	8, M×S=	=3.11, P <sub>T</sub>	$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \times \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{s}} = 2.57,$	7, $S \times P_T$	=2.38, F	$P_s \times S=4.38,$	M× P <sub>T</sub>	$\times \mathbf{P}_{s} \times \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{N}$	s												

# Table 2: Effect of planting season, pit shape, pit size and moisture conservation measures on leaf area growth (cm<sup>2</sup>) of Populus deltoides

Autumn planting												Spring	Spring planting														
PT <sub>1</sub>				PT <sub>2</sub>				PT <sub>3</sub>				PT <sub>1</sub>				PT <sub>2</sub>					PT <sub>3</sub>			Factor Means			
PS <sub>1</sub>	PS <sub>2</sub>	PS <sub>3</sub>	Mean	PS <sub>1</sub>	PS <sub>2</sub>	PS <sub>3</sub>	Mean	PS <sub>1</sub>	PS <sub>2</sub>	PS <sub>3</sub>	Mean	PS <sub>1</sub>	PS <sub>2</sub>	PS <sub>3</sub>	Mean	PS <sub>1</sub>	PS <sub>2</sub>	PS <sub>3</sub>	Mean	PS <sub>1</sub>	PS <sub>2</sub>	PS <sub>3</sub>	Mean	Mulching	Pit size	Pit Type	Season
				923.3	925.1	927.1		903.1	903.1	904.4		900.0	900.5	900.9		910.	912.4	916.3	912.9	899.	900.0	900.5	899.9		910.5	908.3	
906.53	906.88	907.12	906.84	0	1	5	925.19	0	9	2	903.57	1	4	8	900.51	13	3	1	6	12	9	3	1	908.16	2	3	915.81
910.42	911.09	917.58	913.03	927.8 1	929.5 2	930.0 1	929.11	904.9 8	905.1 3	905.7 7	905.29	902.3 2	903.1 0	903.7 7	903.06	917. 33	917.9 8	918.1 3	917.8 1	901. 12	902.5 8	902.9 8	902.2 3	911.76	911.5 0	922.7 8	907.40
918.40	920.13	922.22	920.25	931.1 3	932.4 3	932.9 8	932.18	906.5 1	906.8 2	907.0 3	906.79	905.2 3	906.1 2	907.5 3	906.29	918. 81	919.3 0	920.1 0	919.4 0	903. 16	904.4 8	905.5 3	904.3 9	914.88	912.7 9	903.7 0	
911.78	912.70	915.64	913.37	927.4 1	929.0 2	930.0 5	928.83	904.8 6	905.0 5	905.7 4	905.22	902.5 2	903.2 5	904.0 9	903.29	915. 42	916.5 7	918.1 8	916.7 2	901. 13	902.3 8	903.0 1	902.1 8				
	<b>PS</b> <sub>1</sub> 906.53 910.42 918.40	PT1     PS1   PS2     906.53   906.88     910.42   911.09     918.40   920.13	PT1     PS1   PS2   PS3     906.53   906.88   907.12     910.42   911.09   917.58     918.40   920.13   922.22	PT1   Mean     PS1   PS2   PS3     906.53   906.88   907.12   906.84     910.42   911.09   917.58   913.03     918.40   920.13   922.22   920.25	PT1   Mean     PS1   PS2   PS3   Mean     906.53   906.88   907.12   906.84   0     910.42   911.09   917.58   913.03   1     918.40   920.13   922.22   920.25   3     918.40   920.13   922.22   920.25   3	PT1   Mean   PT2     PS1   PS2   PS3   Mean   PS1   PS2     906.53   906.88   907.12   906.84   0   1     910.42   911.09   917.58   913.03   1   2     918.40   920.13   922.22   920.25   3   3     918.40   920.13   922.22   920.25   3   3	PT1   Mean   PT2     PS1   PS2   PS3   Mean   PS1   PS2   PS3     906.53   906.88   907.12   906.84   0   1   5     910.42   911.09   917.58   913.03   1   2   1     918.40   920.13   922.22   920.25   3   3   8     910.42   920.13   922.22   920.25   3   3   8	PT1   Mean   PT2   Mean     PS1   PS2   PS3   Mean   PS1   PS2   PS3   Mean     906.53   906.88   907.12   906.84   0   1   5   925.19     910.42   911.09   917.58   913.03   1   2   1   929.11     918.40   920.13   922.22   920.25   3   3   8   932.18     918.40   920.13   920.22   920.25   3   3   8   932.18	PT1   Mean   PT2   Mean     PS1   PS2   PS3   Mean   PS1   PS2   PS3   Mean     906.53   906.88   907.12   906.84   0   1   5   925.19   903.11     910.42   911.09   917.58   913.03   1   2   1   929.11   8     918.40   920.13   922.22   920.25   3   3   8   932.18   1	PT1 Mean PT2 Mean PT3   PS1 PS2 PS3 PS3 PS1 PS2 PS3 PS1 PS2 PS3 PS3 PS1 PS2 PS3 PS2 PS3 <t< td=""><td>PT1 PT2 Mean   PS1 PS2 PS3 PS2 PS3 PS2 PS3 PS3 PS3 PS3 PS3 PS1 PS3 PS3 PS3 PS1 PS3 PS4 PS3 PS4 </td></t<>	PT1 PT2 Mean   PS1 PS2 PS3 PS2 PS3 PS2 PS3 PS3 PS3 PS3 PS3 PS1 PS3 PS3 PS3 PS1 PS3 PS4 PS3 PS4																

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

**CONCLUSION** The current study suggested that out of two planting seasons, survival and leaf parameter showed higher results for autumn planting compared to spring planting. Saucer pit recorded highest leaf growth and survival compared to other two pits. Similarly, bigger sized pit ( $60 \times 60$  cm<sup>3</sup>) were seen influential in increasing survival percentage and leaf growth of seedlings. Among the mulching materials applied, black polythene mulch showed better results than ordinary grass mulch and control.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Adams and J. C. Mulching improves growth of four *Oak* species in plantation establishment. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*, **21:** 44-46 (1997).
- Bremer, L. and Farley, K. Does plantation forestry restore biodiversity or create green deserts? A synthesis of the effects of landuse transitions on plant species richness. *Biodiversity Conservation*, **19**: 3893-3915 (2010).
- Buhler, O., Kristoffersen, P. and Larsen, S. U. Growth of street trees in copenhagen with emphasis on the effect of different establishment concepts. *Arboriculture and Urban Forestry*, 33 (5): 330-337 (2007).
- Chandra and J.P. Poplars a cash crop for north Indian farmers. *Indian Forester* 112(8): 698-710 (1986).
- Dagar, J. C., Singh, G. and Singh, G. Afforestation and Agroforestry for salt affected soils. *Indian Review of Life Sciences*, 13: 215-240 (1993).
- Jain, R.K. and Singh, B. Biomass production and soil amelioration in a high density *Terminalia arjuna* plantation on sodic soils. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 15(2): 187-192 (1998).
- Jin and Z. Z. Floristic features of dry hot and dry warm valleys, Yunnan and Sichuan, Yunnan Science and Technology Press, Kunming, China (2002).

- Kumar and G. S. Impact of land management and soil amendments on growth characters of various tree species. *Journal of Ecotoxicology and Environmental Monitoring*, 18 (3): 251-257 (2008).
- Lahiri, A. K and Mazumdar, P K. 1993. A note on Australian species trial in wasteland of Burdwan District, West Bengal. *Indian Forester*, **119** (**12**): 1023-1025 (1993).
- Mehta and K. K. Grow trees on waste alkali soils. *Indian Farming*, **39** (4): 27-28 (1989).
- Meena, J. N., Gupta G. N. and Ram, T. Influence of soil working techniques on early growth of trees in arid region. *Annals of Forestry*, 3 (2): 120-128 (1995).
- Nielsen, C. N., Buhler, O. and Kristoffersen, P. 2007. Soil water dynamics and growth of street and park trees. *Arboriculture and Urban Forestry*, 33 (4): 231-245 (2007).
- 13. Pramod, J. and Mohapatra, K.P. Devoloping a soil quality index for ravenous ustifluvents of the semi-arid region of India.*Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* **60(2):** 116-124 (2012).
- 14. Porfit. R. I. and Scott, R. G. Effect of mulch covers and herbicides on the establishment, growth and nutrition of poplar and willow seedlings. *Aspects of Applied Biology* 5: 303-313 (1984).
- 15. Thapa R. Agroforestry can reverse land degradation in Nepal. *Appropriate Technology* (**30**): 40-41 (2003).
- 16. White, D., Beadle, C., Worledge, D., Honeyset, J. and Cherry, M. The influence of drought on the relationship between leaf and conducting sap area in *Eucalyptus globules* and *Eucalyptus nitens*. *Trees*, **12**: 406-414 (1998).